

Survey Summary: Interest in IDS Logic Toolkit for Kudzu Summer 2016


Respondents = 19

1. Auburn Univ
2. Clemson Univ
3. College of William & Mary
4. East Carolina Univ (2)
5. George Mason Univ
6. Johns Hopkins Univ
7. U-Alabama
8. U-Kentucky
9. U-Louisville
10. U-Miami
11. U-Mississippi
12. UNC Charlotte
13. UNC Greensboro
14. U-South Carolina
15. U-Tennessee
16. Vanderbilt
17. Virginia Commonwealth Univ
18. Wake Forest Univ

1. Please rate your level of interest in licensing the IDS Logic Toolkit for use across the Kudzu group of libraries.

Q3 Please rate your level of interest in licensing the IDS Logic Toolkit for use across the Kudzu group of libraries.

Answered: 19 Skipped: 0



Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
High	36.8%	7
Medium	21.1%	4
Low	31.6%	6
None	10.5%	2

2. What issues / concerns do you have regarding the use of IDS Logic Toolkit within the Kudzu group of libraries?

- The shortcuts that they offer are not really that useful to us at this time.
 - Potential security issues from giving an offsite service access to our server machine.
 - it can be difficult to get IT support at my institution to implement new features in ILLiad, plus we've found that more Add-ons in ILLiad tend to slow down our instance of ILLiad--making work processing take more time.
 - Timing. The earliest we could look at this is 2017.
 - I love the idea but would have to get approval from admins for spending the money. I also worry that we have requests going into so many queues for processing IDS may not want us to join!
 - Majority of benefits offered by IDS Logic are already in place by the use of routing rules.
 - We already make significant use of our own routing rules and similar features, so wouldn't be enthusiastic about spending additional money for this service.
 - Our IT department says that the presentation did not give enough technical details to determine how well it would work with our ILLiad configuration.
 - We think the IDS Logic Toolkit is useful in streamlining workflow but we are not interested at this time.
 - I've made server add-ons that can do everything IDS Logic can do and then some. The ones that don't involve Symphony could be adapted for other libraries with a bit of work, if I have the time. I think it could be good for Kudzu in general if the libraries agree the cost is worth it, but it would not help us at ERE.
 - none
 - I do not have any issues with the use of the IDS Logic Toolkit. While I am deeply concerned about the proposed future of ILLiad, I believe the IDS Project has a dedicated team of developers and a community model that may actually prove to be a powerful group as we figure out the nature of the proposed transition.
 - From what was explained in the IDS webinar, ECU has already created add-ons that do everything that IDS Logic does that we would want to use it for.
 - Our catalog and our z39.50 search is problematic
-

3. If ASERL decides to adopt the use of IDS Logic for Kudzu, how would that impact your library?

8 respondents = We would embrace it. I think IDS Logic would be beneficial to our resource sharing operation

1 respondent = If the use of IDS Logic were to become mandatory, we would probably have to drop out of Kudzu.

1 respondent = I am unsure.

16 respondents = I think it would be OK if the use of IDS Logic became optional for Kudzu libraries, but not mandatory.

2 = Other:

- I do think it would be an advantage to our current operation--as ILLiad currently functions. I have not spoken in any detail to our library administration, yet, so cannot definitively say to what extent or if we could commit to it.
 - I think strongly encouraging Kudzu libraries to have the *functionality* of IDS Logic (whether from IDS Logic itself or other server add-ons) is a good idea. For my library, IDS Logic would be completely redundant.
-

4. Other comments, ideas, suggestions for how we can foster better resource sharing within ASERL & Kudzu?

- I think we do a really good job with resource sharing in ASERL & Kudzu already. I know this is probably "old school" but I recently mentioned to VIVA that one of our biggest challenges these days is getting current year content from journal publishers and more of us are having to go to purchase on demand for current year articles (through Reprints Desk & Get It Now). This seems to be a growing trend, which seems to correlate with libraries cutting print subscriptions in favor of online subscriptions, especially through aggregators. In the past, libraries could share and borrow more current year content because our print subscriptions allowed us to do so. Could we save money collectively (and individually) if we explored shared print programs for current journals (ie: 5-6 years)? Just an idea.
- Has this group ever considered a direct borrowing program, a la BorrowDirect, CIC, etc.
- Having uniform loan periods of 60 or 90 days, or making such highly recommended, across ASERL or KUDZU could improve resource sharing.
- I think we should foster development of these tools within our own group (ie Andrew at ECU).
- I would also like for ASERL to sponsor a resource sharing conference. I would be willing to lead the organization of such an event.
- We can promote Kudzu so the group can be bigger.